Formulating the European Research Agenda for General Practice/Family Medicine

Prof. dr. Paul Van Royen Prof. dr. Eva Hummers-Pradier



This workshop

- Introduction: aims and objectives
- Outline of the research agenda
- Current state: themes and methods for European GP Research
- Questions
- Workshop and discussion

A research agenda - Aims

- Target groups: GPs, researchers and policy makers, providing advocacy of GP in Europe
- Position paper and reference manual
- Based on facts and evidence
- Focus on relevant topics
- Provide a research strategy and policy recommenations

A research agenda as reference manual

- Defining the evidence base of the European definition

 Are the core competencies are covered by research/ references?
- Pointing out research needs and evidence gaps
- Indicating what is of imminent importance for developing countries Manual how to get started
- Provide a basis for positive action to influence health and research policy (incl. applying/lobbying for research funds)

OUTLINE (1)

- Introduction: background, purpose, aims
- Basics:
 - Overview of priorities and barriers
 (Lionis et al. Fam Pract 2004)
 - A multiple key informant survey: the NRs report (Topsever et al. submitted to Fam Pract, 2008)
 - SWOT analyses (EGPRN council meetings)
 - EGPRN abstract analysis

OUTLINE (2)

- Methods chapter
- Chapters on the 6 WONCA competencies
- **Summary** what is the agenda for the future?
- Manual to start/implement PC research in a country
- Discussion (methodology, content, WONCA definition)
- Key messages

Core competency	Clinical research	Health services res.	Education, teaching
Primary care management	1 Health problems in PC Interface between PC-SC	2 first contact unlimited access interprofessional collaboration electronic patient records Efficiency issues	3 Interprofessional collaboration Management skills
Patient- centered care	4 Individual / Family Longitudinal continuity /longterm management	5 Patient experiences Adherence / concordance	6 Patient-centredness in education Communication skills
Specific problem solving competence	7 - diagnostic strategies - therapeutic strategies - Shared decision making	8 PC morbidity Co-/ multimorbidity Quality management Gender / socioeconomic class Tools / guidelines DMP programmes	9 Decision making Clinical skills training Lifelong learning
Comprehensive approach	10 Health promotion Care – cure – palliation Case management	11 Prevention / early intervention	12 Integration in education
Community orientation	13 Community oriented approach	14 Organisation of community orientations	15 Community oriented education
Holistic approach	16 Biomedical Psychological , social	17	18 Cultural / existential education in education

Methods

- Individual approach : define important issues, description of the domain /concepts and corresponding keywords
- Searches in Medline, first selection at view of titles
- Second selection: on quality and relevance
- Linking the found literature
 to the concepts, domain description and methodologies
- Indicatine landmark articles
- Summarize evidence, gaps and appropriate methodologies

Example: Patient centred care

- Important, central value one of the core elements of GP/FM
- The concept is described and several elements distinguished (Mead and Bower, Bensing...)
- Research domain includes:
 - description of different elements of patient centredness
 - which patients /doctors prefer patient centred care
 - tools or measurement instruments
 - effectiveness of patient centred care

Search on patient centred care

- Search "primary health care" or "family practice" AND "patient centred care"
- Results for the last 10 years: 1836 hits
- After first selection: 79 relevant articles
- What is already known?

Patient centred care: research gaps

- No theoretical clarity on the complex concept
 - → need for a better definition
- Valid and reliable measures have to be developed
 - need for instrumental research
- Need for intervention studies and observational studies, longitudinal research
- Sustainability of education effects needs to be studied

Specific problem solving: Summary

- Vast field comprising morbidity, quality issues, most disease or diagnostic related reseach
- Only exemplary searches: retrieval of relevant papers difficult (not MesH linked to GP)
- Intervention studies/RCTs: degree of patient selection or level of care often not clear, questions often very specialised (-> applicable for GP?)
- Gaps:
 - longitudinal studies,
 - diagnostic studies in primary care,
 - Multimorbidity issues
 - Really GP based and —relevant clinical research (RCTs)

Primary care management: Summary

- Large field, still very scattered, lacking a meta-view
- Interface PC-secondary care, referrals
 - PC-SC cooperation on primary care level is "promising"
 - Cooperation with pharmacists reduces unwanted drug effects
- Efficiency: Nurses are effective, but cost as much as GPs
- Practice organisation influences service uptake
- Access organised very differently in different countries, studies refer to local situations
- Electronic patient records: large, comprehensive registers or pragmatic approaches to "everyday" records

Community orientation: Summary

- Lots of narrative reports, lack of quality research
- Studies on diseases, screening, preventive services
 - Often either too specific or too general
 - Hardly any with relevant, GP related outcomes

Comprehensive/holistic care: Summary

- Very little evidence on these characteristics
 - Lack of a clear definiton
 - No valid instruments to measure
 - Lack of studies in specific primary care context, concerning effectiveness, prevention, specific services like home visits
 - Lack of longitudinal studies (continuity of care)
- Disease relates studies (mental /cardiovascular health)
 - Limited evidence on effectiveness of change-your-lifestyle interventions

How to start building GP research in a country

- Planning Why do you want to do it?
- Requirements What do you need to make it work?
- Infrastructure and research education Essentials and options
- Identify local research needs
 - First get to know the local situation /epidemiology
 - Understand, identify needs for improvement, intervention
 - Prioritize, choose your subject *Start simple*, but don't stay simple

Questions for this workshop

- How do you perceive the validity and relevance of the current draft research agenda?
- Which suggestions do you have for the **next steps** in developing this agenda (format and content)
- How do you prospect the implications of this agenda for the local researchers and policy makers in your country?